		6	
1	Petruzzielo		
2	notice.		
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
4	BY MR. MULE:		
5	Q. You go by is it		
6	Mr. Petruzziello, is that how you pronounce it?		
7	A. Petruzziello.		
8	Q. Mr. Petruzziello, good morning.		
9	A. Good morning.		
10	Q. Where are you today?		
11	A. In Brick, New Jersey, in my home		
12	office.		
13	Q. All right. Is anyone with you?		
14	A. No, but my wife is in the house.		
15	Q. And do you have any documents with		
16	you this morning?		
17	A. Yes. On my desk, I have the my		
18	report and my rebuttal report.		
19	Q. When you refer to your report,		
20	you're referring to your initial September 15,		
21	2023 report; correct?		
22	A. Correct.		
23	Q. And your rebuttal report is dated		
24	November 10, 2023; correct?		
25	A. Correct.		

15 Petruzzielo 1 2 drugs in the last 24 hours? 3 Α. No, sir. And I'd like to identify 4 0. Okav. 5 your two reports. So, we're going to put up as 6 Exhibit 206 and 207 your reports. We'll start 7 with 206. (Plaintiff's Exhibits 206 and 207 were 8 9 so marked for identification.) 10 Mr. Petruzziello, we'll scroll through 11 this report. This is what we received 12 (indicating). I just want to -- let's scroll 13 down to your signature, which is on page 22. Just to confirm, is that your signature? 14 15 Yes, it is. Α. 16 It looks like it's a little smudged 0. 17 or something. Is that a stamp or is that an 18 actual --19 Α. I used the stamp. 20 Q. You used a stamp? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Ο. And if you go to the next page, the 23 appendix, the appendix says, "I have reviewed 2.4 the following categories of documents in 25 preparation of this report." And it lists a

16 Petruzzielo 1 2 number of documents and the enumerated list 3 goes on for two pages, and it has 33 entries. Are there any documents besides the 4 5 documents that are listed on these pages, 23 6 and I'll go to 24, that you reviewed and 7 considered in coming to your opinion? None that come to mind. I 8 Α. reviewed, as you can see, quite a few 9 10 documents, so I tried to list them all. 11 All the documents that are listed here, did you review all of those documents? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 The last document, 33, says, "Additional discovery documents." 15 16 Can you tell me what that means? 17 Α. I believe one of them was an engagement letter. I really don't remember 18 19 other specific ones. There was quite a few 20 exhibits and things. 21 An engagement letter? Whose Q. 2.2 engagement letter? 23 From Voynow, Bayard to the Α. 2.4 defendants -- plaintiffs. 25 I'm going to just close my door, I can

114 Petruzzielo 1 2 capacity. 3 BY MR. MULE: Did that particular engagement 4 5 involve the topic of fraud and management's 6 role and responsibility in operating an 7 automobile dealership? I believe it did. 8 Α. 9 Q. Is that the case that you're 10 referring to when you state that you've been 11 qualified as an expert and have presented 12 testimony on the topic of fraud, and 13 management's role and responsibility in 14 operating an automobile dealership? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Is there any other case where 0. 17 you've been qualified as an expert and have 18 presented testimony on that topic? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. So, you've been qualified as an 2.1 expert in one case; correct? 2.2 Α. You got to start somewhere. 23 And that was how many years ago? Q. I believe it was 2013. It went on 24 Α. 25 for a while, but -- if you want me to go to my

115 Petruzzielo 1 2 credenza, I can look at the report. That's unnecessary for the moment. 3 Q. Have you been consulted by any dealership or 4 5 anyone else other than this case, about claims 6 concerning management's role and responsibility 7 in operating an automobile dealership? 8 I've done consulting work for Α. 9 various dealer groups, but it wasn't 10 specifically dealing with fraud. 11 If you could get the -- I guess get 12 that report from the credenza. 13 MS. FITZGERALD: You know what? T ' m 14 not going to -- I'm going to object to 15 any -- well, first of all, what's the 16 follow-up on it? 17 MR. MULE: He just referred to it, 18 so I'm going to ask him questions about 19 it. 20 MS. FITZGERALD: I'll just have a 2.1 standing objection to the line of 2.2 questions. This is not a document that 23 is referenced or incorporated into the 2.4 report in this case for which he is being 25 offered as an expert to provide

116 Petruzzielo 1 2 testimony. 3 MR. MULE: Okay. But we -- but nonetheless, we'll ask questions. 4 5 BY MR. MULE: 6 Mr. Petruzziello, if you could 0. 7 please get the report (indicating). Okay. Ιf you could -- you started to say that -- what 8 9 that claim was. If you could refresh your 10 recollection by looking at that report and tell 11 me in a little more detail what the claims were 12 in that case. 13 Α. Well, the claim is quite simple. 14 The plaintiff, Brandow, tried to say that the 15 people that were doing the floor plan reviews 16 were not competent and made errors in counting 17 the cars. And that resulted in their out-of-trust situation, not paying for sold 18 19 cars, grew to the point where they couldn't pay 20 them off. 21 They were saying that the Ο. 2.2 incompetence of the defendant in floor plan 23 review in counting cars linked to what? 2.4 Not to belabor it, but when a car Α. 25 dealership borrows against the cars in

			I
			138
1		Petruzzielo	
2	MS	G. FITZGERALD: Objection.	
3	TF	HE WITNESS: No.	
4	BY MR. MULE:		
5	Q.	I'm sorry, what was the answer?	
6	А.	No.	
7	Q.	Was there anything in the November	
8	10, 2023 rek	outtal report of Douglas P.	
9	Zasnowski th	nat changed your opinions in this	
10	case?		
11	Α.	Not at all.	
12	Q.	Did you meet with counsel in	
13	preparation	for your deposition today?	
14	Α.	We talked on the phone.	
15	Q.	When?	
16	Α.	Most recently, Sunday, yesterday.	
17	Q.	For how long?	
18	А.	Half hour, maybe.	
19	Q.	Did you review any documents in	
20	preparation	for your deposition today?	
21	Α.	I've reread my reports.	
22	Q.	Anything else?	
23	А.	No.	
24	Q.	Have you communicated with Steven	
25	Sher in this	s case?	